

RKKY interaction in Layered Superconductors with Anisotropic Pairing

D.N.Aristov, S.V.Maleyev, A.G.Yashenkin

Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute

Gatchina, St.Petersburg 188350, Russia

(Received .)

Abstract

The RKKY interaction between rare-earth (RE) ions in high- T_c superconductors is considered at $T \ll T_c$. It is shown that this interaction consists of two terms: conventional oscillating one and the positive term, which is proportional to the gap function and decreases in the $2D$ case inversely proportional to the distance. In the antiferromagnetic state of the RE subsystem this positive interaction gives rise for frustrations which diminishes the Neel temperature. In the case of strongly anisotropic gap function this frustration produces two different values of the effective nearest neighbor exchange coupling between RE ions along the a and b . This anisotropy has been established experimentally in Ref. [6–8].

In many High- T_c superconductors substitution of some ions by rare-earth elements (RE) leads to the low-temperature antiferromagnetism in the RE subsystem with T_N of order of 1 K and without sizeable change of the superconducting transition temperature T_c . The mostly investigated compounds are $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{7-x}$ (1 : 2 : 3) and $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_4\text{O}_8$ (1 : 2 : 4) with yttrium being substituted by different RE ions (see [1–5] and references therein).

The magnetic dipolar, superexchange and RKKY interactions has been attracted for explanation of this antiferromagnetism in the RE subsystem. The detailed calculations, however, have been carried out only for dipolar interaction. Corresponding results have been presented in [4] and [5] for (1 : 2 : 3) and (1 : 2 : 4) compounds, respectively. In particular, it was pointed out in [4] that there is no correlation between the ground state energies calculated in the dipolar approximation and observed Neel temperatures. Hence the dipolar interaction alone cannot explain the magnetic properties of the considered systems. Moreover, a striking feature of the magnetic interaction between the RE ions is the very large difference between effective nearest neighbor exchange interactions along crystallographic a and b directions. Indeed, the specific heat data near T_N for 1 : 2 : 3 system with Sm and Nd ions was very well fitted [6,7] using 2D Ising model with strongly different exchange constants J_1 and J_2 . The ratio J_1/J_2 was found to be $\simeq 11$ and 50 for Sm and Nd samples respectively [6]. Recent measurements for $\text{NdBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{7-\delta}$ [7] confirmed this result and revealed that the ratio J_1/J_2 depends on doping and increases rapidly with δ . As it was emphasized in Ref. [7] such a behaviour indicates that chains cannot be the direct reason of this anisotropy, since the structural anisotropy decreases with depleting of the chains.

More direct confirmation of this anisotropy has been provided [8] by the inelastic neutron scattering in $\text{HoBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{7\delta}$. The values of $J_a = (0.0005 \pm 0.0002)\text{meV}$ and $J_b = -(0.0028 \pm 0.0008)\text{meV}$ were reported there.

The orthorhombic distortion in the considered compounds is very small [$(a - b)/a \sim 10^{-2} - 10^{-3}$] and weakly depends on δ . Therefore, it cannot explain the anisotropy of superexchange and dipolar interactions. At the same time it is well known that the hole system responsible for the superconductivity possesses pronounced anisotropy in the a-b

plane which strongly depends on the oxygen content δ . This anisotropy was established for the Fermi surface [9,10] and is apparently presented in the superconducting gap function $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}$ [10,11]. Moreover, the anisotropy of $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}$ should persist in any system with anisotropic Fermi surface. As a result we may suggest that the above exchange anisotropy of the RE subsystem stems from the interaction between the RE ions and holes in CuO_2 planes.

To date we have no direct information about interaction between the RE ions and CuO_2 planes in metal state. Meanwhile for antiferromagnetic compound $\text{HoBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.13}$ this interaction has been evaluated on the base of experimentally observed splitting of the Holmium Γ_5 doublet. This splitting appears due to the interaction of Ho ion with spinwaves in CuO_2 planes [12]. It was found that exchange integral between Ho total angular momentum and Cu^{2+} spin is equal to 2.4 meV. Therefore we see that this interaction is not so weak and the same should be valid for the metal state, as well.

The RE-hole interaction gives rise to the RKKY interaction between the RE ions, which should be anisotropic due to the anisotropies of the Fermi surface and of the gap function, mentioned above. In this paper we consider the problem of anisotropy of the RKKY interaction in superconducting state which stems from the anisotropy of the gap function. The effects arising from the non-circular shape of Fermi surface will be considered elsewhere.

We obtain the following principal result. At $T \ll T_c$ the 2D RKKY interaction is a sum of two terms. The first one is the conventional oscillating contribution and the second term is positive and decreases as R^{-1} . It is proportional to $|\Delta_{\mathbf{R}}|/E_F$ where $\Delta_{\mathbf{R}}$ is the gap function along $\mathbf{R}$ direction. Both terms are screened at distances of order of $V_F/|\Delta_{\mathbf{R}}|$. The second term should strongly frustrate the AF ground state due to its long-range behavior. If the gap $\Delta_{\mathbf{R}}$ has not square symmetry this frustration is different in the a and b directions. As a result the effective exchange parameters J_a and J_b should be different, too.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec.II we evaluate the 2D RKKY interaction for $k_F R \gg 1$ in the case of anisotropic gap function and in Sec.III we discuss the physical consequences of these results.

I. RKKY INTERACTION BETWEEN RE IONS IN SUPERCONDUCTORS WITH ANISOTROPIC PAIRING

We begin with the conventional form of the exchange interaction between RE total angular moment $\mathbf{J}$ and the hole spin density $1/2 \sigma(\mathbf{r})$ in CuO_2 planes [13]

$$V(\mathbf{r}) = -J_{ex}(g_J - 1)\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r})\sigma(\mathbf{r}) , \quad (1)$$

where J_{ex} is the exchange interaction and g_J is the ionic g -factor. As a result we may write the RKKY interaction between two RE ions in the following form

$$H_{RKKY} = 2[J_{ex}(g_J - 1)]^2 \mathbf{J}_1 \mathbf{J}_2 I(\mathbf{R}_{12}) , \quad (2)$$

where below T_c we have

$$I(\mathbf{R}) = T \sum_n \left\{ G(i\omega_n, \mathbf{R})^2 + |F(i\omega_n, \mathbf{R})|^2 \right\} . \quad (3)$$

Here $\omega_n = \pi T(2n + 1)$ is the Matsubara frequency and the normal and anomalous Green functions are given by

$$G(i\omega_n, \mathbf{R}) = \frac{a^2}{(2\pi)^2} \int d^2\mathbf{k} \exp(i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{R}) \frac{i\omega_n + \xi_k}{\omega_n^2 + |\Delta_k|^2 + \xi_k^2} \quad (4)$$

$$F(i\omega_n, \mathbf{R}) = \frac{a^2}{(2\pi)^2} \int d^2\mathbf{k} \exp(i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{R}) \frac{\Delta_k}{\omega_n^2 + |\Delta_k|^2 + \xi_k^2} \quad (5)$$

where a^2 is the volume of the square unit cell of the 2D lattice, $\xi_{\mathbf{k}}$ is the hole spectrum above T_c and $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}$ is the superconducting gap function. The conventional form of writing of the RKKY interaction below T_c [14] may be obtained from eqs. (2)–(5) after summation over ω_n .

We are interested in the dependence of $I(\mathbf{R})$ on the direction of $\mathbf{R}$ in the ab plane. The case of a non-circular shape of the Fermi surface appears to be a quite complex problem and will be analyzed elsewhere. Remarkable fact presented below is the possibility to obtain the expression for the RKKY interaction at large distances for the circular Fermi surface and

arbitrary form of the gap function $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}$. Hence we take the following parametrization of the normal-state dispersion $\xi_{\mathbf{k}}$ and the function $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}$:

$$\xi_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{k^2 - k_F^2}{2m}; \quad \Delta_{\mathbf{k}} = \Delta_{\varphi}, \quad (6)$$

where φ is the polar angle in the ab plane.

We begin with evaluation of asymptotic expressions for G and F functions at $k_F R \gg 1$ and then determine the corresponding form of the RKKY interaction. Taking into account that $E_F \gg \Delta_{\varphi}, T$ we may put $k = k_F + \xi/V_F$ where $V_F = k_F/m$. Then, integrating in Eq.(4) over ξ , we get

$$G(\mathbf{R}, \omega) = \frac{a^2 m}{2\pi i} \int d\varphi e^{ik_F R \cos \varphi} \frac{\omega + \sqrt{\omega^2 + \Delta_{\varphi+\psi}^2} \text{sign}(\cos \varphi)}{2\sqrt{\omega^2 + \Delta_{\varphi+\psi}^2}} \quad (7)$$

$$\times \exp \left[-\frac{R}{V_F} \sqrt{\omega^2 + \Delta_{\varphi+\psi}^2} |\cos \varphi| \right],$$

where ψ defines the direction of $\mathbf{R}$. The expression for $F(\mathbf{R}, \omega)$ is obtained, if one replaces $(\omega \pm \sqrt{\omega^2 + \Delta_{\varphi+\psi}^2})$ by $i\Delta_{\varphi+\psi}$ in (7).

If $k_F R \gg 1$, the steepest decent method may be used. Expanding $\cos \varphi$ near the points $\varphi = 0, \pi$ and taking into account that $\Delta_{\varphi}^2 = \Delta_{\varphi+\pi}^2$ we have

$$G(\mathbf{R}, \omega) = \frac{a^2 m}{(2\pi k_F R)^{1/2}} \left[\frac{-i\omega}{\sqrt{\omega^2 + \Delta_{\mathbf{R}}^2}} \cos \left(k_F R - \frac{\pi}{4} \right) \right. \quad (8)$$

$$\left. + \sin \left(k_F R - \frac{\pi}{4} \right) \right] \exp \left[-\frac{R}{V_F} (\omega^2 + \Delta_{\mathbf{R}}^2)^{1/2} \right]$$

$$F(\mathbf{R}, \omega) = \frac{a^2 m}{(2\pi k_F R)^{1/2}} \frac{\Delta_{\mathbf{R}}}{\sqrt{\omega^2 + \Delta_{\mathbf{R}}^2}} \cos \left(k_F R - \frac{\pi}{4} \right) \exp \left[-\frac{R}{V_F} (\omega^2 + \Delta_{\mathbf{R}}^2)^{1/2} \right], \quad (9)$$

where $\Delta_{\mathbf{R}}$ stands for the absolute value $|\Delta_{\varphi}|$ at $\varphi = \psi$. We drop the phase of Δ_{φ} in the numerator of (9), since it is irrelevant for the interaction (3).

Expressions (8) and (9) take place if one can neglect the variation of $\Delta_{\varphi+\psi}$ in the range $|\varphi + \psi| \lesssim (2/k_F R)^{1/2}$ at $R \lesssim V_F(\Delta_{\mathbf{R}}^2 + \omega^2)^{-1/2}$. These conditions may be combined in the following form

$$\frac{(\Delta_{\mathbf{R}} \Delta'_{\mathbf{R}})^2}{E_F(\omega^2 + \Delta_{\mathbf{R}}^2)^{3/2}} \sim \frac{\Delta'^2}{E_R \Delta_{\mathbf{R}}} \ll 1. \quad (10)$$

Here in the right-hand side we put $\omega \sim T \lesssim \Delta_{\mathbf{R}}$. For most directions we have $\Delta'_{\mathbf{R}} \sim \Delta_{\mathbf{R}}$ and the condition (10) fulfills very well since always $\Delta_{\mathbf{R}} \ll E_F$. However it is violated if the gap function has a node at $\varphi = \varphi_n$. Indeed, in this case we have $\Delta_{\mathbf{R}} = \Delta_n |\psi - \varphi_n|$ near the node and instead of (10) we get $\Delta_n / [E_F |\psi - \varphi_n|] \ll 1$. Therefore near the directions of nodes the Eqs. (8) and (9) persist if

$$|\psi - \varphi_n| > |\Delta_n| / E_F . \quad (11)$$

For example, in the case of the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ pairing we may write approximately $\Delta_\varphi \simeq \Delta_0 \cos 2\varphi$. In this case we have $\varphi_n = \pm\pi/4; \pm 3\pi/4$ and $\Delta_n = \pm 2\Delta_0$.

From the condition (11) we see that Eqs.(8) and (9) hold almost in all directions of $\mathbf{R}$ excluding narrow vicinities the gap nodes. We begin with the regions where condition (11) fulfills. Using Eqs.(3),(8) and (9) we get

$$\begin{aligned} I(\mathbf{R}) = & -\frac{a^4 m}{2\pi k_F R} T \sum_{\omega_n} \left[\frac{\omega_n^2}{\omega_n^2 + \Delta_{\mathbf{R}}^2} \sin 2k_F R - \frac{\Delta_{\mathbf{R}}^2}{\omega_n^2 + \Delta_{\mathbf{R}}^2} \right] \\ & \times \exp \left[-\frac{2R}{V_F} (\omega^2 + \Delta_{\mathbf{R}}^2)^{1/2} \right] . \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

We are interested in very low temperatures of order of 1 K or less. In this case we may put $T = 0$ and instead of (12) we have

$$\begin{aligned} I(\mathbf{R}) = & -\frac{a^4 m^2}{2\pi^2 k_F R} \int_0^\infty d\omega \left(\frac{\omega^2}{\omega^2 + \Delta_{\mathbf{R}}^2} \sin 2k_F R \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{\Delta_{\mathbf{R}}^2}{\omega^2 + \Delta_{\mathbf{R}}^2} \right) \exp \left[-\frac{2R}{V_F} (\omega^2 + \Delta_{\mathbf{R}}^2)^{1/2} \right] . \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

In the normal state when $\Delta_{\mathbf{R}} \equiv 0$ we obtain from this equation the asymptotic form of the two-dimensional RKKY interaction

$$I_0(\mathbf{R}) = -\frac{a^4 m}{4\pi^2 R^2} \sin 2k_F R . \quad (14)$$

For $\Delta_{\mathbf{R}} \neq 0$ after some algebra we get

$$\begin{aligned} I(\mathbf{R}) = & \frac{a^4 m k_F^2}{4\pi^2} \left[-\frac{\sin 2k_F R}{(k_F R)^2} F_1 \left(\frac{2R\Delta_{\mathbf{R}}}{V_F} \right) \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{\Delta_{\mathbf{R}}}{E_F (k_F R)} F_2 \left(\frac{2R\Delta_{\mathbf{R}}}{V_F} \right) \right] , \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
F_1(x) &= \int_x^\infty \frac{dy e^{-y}}{y} (y^2 - x^2)^{1/2} = \begin{aligned} &1; & x \ll 1 \\ &\left(\frac{\pi}{2x}\right)^{1/2} e^{-x}; & x \gg 1 \end{aligned} \\
F_2(x) &= \int_x^\infty \frac{dy e^{-y}}{y} \frac{x}{(y^2 - x^2)^{1/2}} = \begin{aligned} &\frac{\pi}{2}; & x \ll 1 \\ &\left(\frac{\pi}{2x}\right)^{1/2} e^{-x}; & x \gg 1. \end{aligned}
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

There are two important features of these equations. First, the superconducting gap gives rise to the screening of the RKKY interaction. The range of the screening depends strongly on the $\mathbf{R}$ direction and is given by

$$\xi_{\mathbf{R}} = \xi_m \frac{\Delta_m}{\Delta_{\mathbf{R}}} , \tag{17}$$

where $\xi_m = V_F/\Delta_m$ and $\Delta_m = \max(\Delta_{\mathbf{R}})$. Note here that the value of ξ_m , apparently related to T_c , is usually adopted as the superconducting correlation length. We see that it is *the lowest* value of the screening length for the case of anisotropic pairing.

Second, in addition to the usual oscillating term, the long-range positive interaction appears. This interaction is proportional to $|\Delta_{\mathbf{R}}|/E_F$ and depends strongly on direction of $\mathbf{R}$. In spite of its weakness, this part of the interaction should be important due to its very slow decreasing with R , if $R < \xi_{\mathbf{R}}$. In the vicinity of the AF transition it should produce strong frustration and diminish the Neel temperature.

We considered above the directions of $\mathbf{R}$ determined by the condition (11). In the opposite limiting case when $|\varphi - \varphi_n| \ll |\Delta_n|/E_F$, the screening range (17) seemingly goes to infinity. We will show now that it is not the case.

If we put $\psi = \varphi_n$ in Eq.(7), we have $\Delta_{\varphi+\psi} = \Delta_n \varphi$. As a result the Δ_φ^2 contribution to the exponential factor in (7) becomes important at $R > (E_F/\Delta_n)^2/k_F$. Thus the range of screening along the nodes is given by

$$\xi_n = (E_F/\Delta_n)^2/k_F \sim \xi_m(E_F/\Delta_n) \gg \xi_m . \tag{18}$$

At the same time similarly to Eq.(7) the expression for $F(\mathbf{R}, \omega)$ is zero due to the oddness of the integrand as a function of φ in this case.

Summarizing we can say that Eq.(15) is a good approximation for $I(\mathbf{R})$ at $k_F R \gg 1$ if near the nodal directions one replaces the argument of function F_1 by $2R\Delta_n^2/V_F E_F$.

II. DISCUSSION

We have demonstrated that below T_c RKKY interaction given by Eq.(15) is a sum of two terms. First is conventional one which in the 2D case oscillates as $\sin 2k_F R$ and decreases as $(k_F R)^{-2}$. Second term is strongly anisotropic positive (of the antiferromagnetic sign) contribution proportional to the small parameter $\Delta_{\mathbf{R}}/E_F$ and decreasing as $(k_F R)^{-1}$. Both terms are screened at distances determined by Eqs. (17) and (18). However these equations hold in the pure case only. In real systems interaction with impurities may lead to a lower value of the screening range, especially in the directions of nodes.

In spite of a small factor $\Delta_{\mathbf{R}}/E_F$ the second term in Eq.(15) is important due to its very slow decreasing with R . In presence of long-range antiferromagnetic order in the Rare-Earth subsystem it produces frustration and reduces the Neel temperature.

Let us return now to the mentioned in Introduction anisotropy of the exchange parameters in the a - b plane. In [6–8] the specific-heat and neutron scattering data were described using 2D anisotropic exchange in a - b plane. Of course, it is only a convenient parametrization and the real interaction is much more complex. However an anisotropy in the a - b plane is one of its most important features. The above mentioned positive part of the RKKY interaction may be one of sources of such anisotropy, if the gap function has not square symmetry, e.g. if it is a mixture of $d_{x^2-y^2}$ and extended s -wave function as discussed in [10]. In this case $\Delta_{\mathbf{b}} \neq \Delta_{\mathbf{a}}$ and corresponding frustration becomes different in these two directions. Effectively this situation may be modelled by nearest-neighbour exchange parameters $J_a \neq J_b$ as it was actually done in Refs. [6–8].

It should be noted, however, that the observed asymmetry of the Fermi surface in a - b

plane is another possible source of anisotropy of the RKKY interaction.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank A.Buzdin, A.Furrer, K.Kikoin, M.Kiselev, I.Vagner for useful discussions. One of us (D.N.A.) thanks LNS at ETH&PSI for the hospitality. This work was partly supported by International Science Foundation and Russian Government (Grant #R3Y300) and Russian Foundation for Basic Researches (Project No. 93-02-2224).

REFERENCES

- [1] J.J. Neumeier, Y. Dalichaouch, R.R. Hake, B.W. Lee, M.B. Maple, M.S. Torikashvili, K.N. Young, R.P. Guertin, M.V. Kuric, *Physica C* **152**, 293 (1988)
- [2] T.P.Orlando, K.A.Delin, S.Foner, E.J.McNiff,Jr., J.M.Tarascon, L.H.Greene, W.R.McKinnon, G.W.Hull, *Phys.Rev.* **B36**, 2394 (1987).
- [3] J.W.Lynn, *J.Alloys Compounds* **181**, 419 (1992).
- [4] S.K.Misra, J.Felsteiner, *Phys.Rev.* **B46**, 1103 (1992).
- [5] J.Felsteiner, S.R.Misra, *Phys.Rev.* **B50**, 7184 (1994).
- [6] K.N.Yang, J.M.Fereira, B.W.Lynn, M.B.Maple, W.-H.Li, J.W.Lynn, R.W.Erwin, *Phys.Rev.* **B40**, 10963 (1989).
- [7] P.Allenspach, B.W.Lee, D.A.Gajewsi, V.B.Barbeta, M.B.Maple, G.Nieva, S.-I.Yoo, M.J.Kramer, R.W.McCallum, L.Ben-Dor, *Z.Phys.C.* **B96**, 455 (1995).
- [8] U.Staub, F.Fauth, M.Guillaume, J.Mesot, A.Furrer, P.Dosanjh, H.Zhou, *Europhys.Lett.* **21**, 845 (1993).
- [9] A.A.Abrikosov, J.C.Campuzano, K.Gofron, *Physica C* **214**, 73 (1993).
- [10] C.O'Donovan, D.Branch, J.P.Carbotte, *Phys.Rev.* **B51**, 6588 (1995).
- [11] M.N.Norman, M.Randeria, H.Ding, J.C.Campuzano, preprint.
- [12] D.N.Aristov, S.V.Maleyev, M.Guillaume, A.Furrer, C.J.Carlile, *Z.Phys.* **B25**, 291 (1994).
- [13] P.Fulde, M.Loewenhaupt, *Advances in Physics* **34**, 589 (1986).
- [14] A.A.Abrikosov, *Fundamentals of the theory of metals*, North-Holland, Amsterdam (1988).